## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ZHOUXIA TAN, Plaintiff	) C.A. No. 22-172 Erie
<b>v</b>	) District Judge Susan Paradise Baxter
ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, et al., Defendants	

## **MEMORANDUM ORDER**

On June 6, 2022, Plaintiff Zhouxia Tan, an adult resident of Erie County, Pennsylvania, initiated this action by filing a *pro se* complaint against Defendants United States Citizenship and Immigration Services ("USCIS"),1 Alejandro Mayorkas, Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security ("Mayorkas"), and Merrick Garland, United States Attorney General ("Garland"), pursuant to the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952, as amended, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1101, *et seq.*, the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 551, *et seq.*, and the mandamus statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

Plaintiff, a native and citizen of the People's Republic of China, alleges that Defendant USCIS has failed to adjudicate his I-730 Refugee/Asylee Relative Petition ("Petition"), which he filed on or around August 26, 2019. (Id. at ¶¶ 8-12). As a result, Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief in the form of an Order declaring the USCIS's failure to act on his petition unlawful and ordering the USCIS to adjudicate the Petition without delay.

On November 15, 2022, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint [ECF No. 8], claiming that the action should be dismissed as most because Plaintiff's Petition was

Due to an apparent clerical oversight, Defendant USCIS is not named in the caption of this action, but is the first named Defendant in the complaint (ECF No. 1, at ¶ 5).

approved on September 14, 2022. In support of their motion, Defendants have submitted a copy of Plaintiff's Petition that was stamped "Approved" by the USCIS on September 14, 2022 [ECF No. 9-1], along with a copy of a letter from the United States Attorney's office to Plaintiff, dated September 30, 2022, notifying Plaintiff of the Petition's approval [ECF No. 9-2].<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff has not filed any response to Defendants' motion. Nonetheless, in light of the approval of Plaintiff's Petition, which has been appropriately documented by Defendants, the Court agrees that Plaintiff's complaint should be dismissed as moot.

AND NOW, this 11th day of April, 2023,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion to dismiss [ECF No. 8] is GRANTED and Plaintiff's complaint is DISMISSED as moot.

The Clerk is directed to mark this case CLOSED.

SUSAN PARADISE BAXTER
United States District Judge

Defendants have also submitted a copy of a stipulation of dismissal that was drafted by Defendants and forwarded to Plaintiff with a request that he sign the stipulation in recognition of the Petition's approval [ECF No. 9-3]; however, Plaintiff failed to respond to Defendants' various attempts to obtain his signature on the stipulation, thus prompting Defendants to file the instant motion. (ECF No. 9, at pp. 2-3).